# Exhibit 4-A

**Eboweme Petition in Wrongful Foreclosure Action** 

Cause No. 342-258269-12

ABOSEDE EBOWEME

VS.

GMAC, ET AL

**ISSUED** 

This 7th day of March, 2012

Thomas A. Wilder
Tarrant County District Clerk
200 E WEATHERFORD
FORT WORTH TX 76196-0402

By KIMBERLY KRUMLAND Deputy

PRO SE

Name: ABOSEDE EBOWEME

(214)317-2811

Address: 4617 COVINGTON CT

GRAND PRAIRIE, TX 75052

CIVIL LAW



\*34225826912000002\*

# 12-12020-mg Doc 8018-21 Filed 01/22/15 Entered 01/22/15 17:56:48 Exhibit 4-A

## Pg 3 of 17 THE STATE OF TEXAS DISTRICT COURT, TARRANT COUNTY

# **CITATION**

Cause No. 342-258269-12

#### ABOSEDE EBOWEME

VS.

GMAC, ET AL

TO: GMAC

B/S MR CHRISTOPHER FERGUSON, ATTY PO BOX 815369 DALLAS, TX 75381-

You said DEFENDANT are hereby commanded to appear by filing a written answer to the PLAINTIFF'S ORIGINAL PETITION FOR WRONGFUL FORECLOSURE AND APPLICATION FOR TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF at or before 10 o'clock A.M. of the Monday next after the expiration of 20 days after the date of service hereof before the 342nd District Court in and for Tarrant County, Texas, at the Courthouse in the City of Fort Worth, Tarrant County, Texas said PLAINTIFF being

ABOSEDE EBOWEME

Filed in said Court on March 6th, 2012 Against
GMAC, BANK OF AMERICA, BANK OF NEW YORK MELLON, CHRISTOPHER FERGUSON

For suit, said suit being numbered 342-258269-12 the nature of which demand is as shown on said PLAINTIFF'S ORIGINAL PETITION FOR WRONGFUL FORECLOSURE AND APPLICATION FOR TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF a copy of which accompanies this citation.

PRO SE

Attorney for ABOSEDE EBOWEME Phone No. (214)317-2811 Address 4617 COVINGTON CT GRAND PRAIRIE, TX 75052

		OFFICE	R'S RETURN		
Received this Citation on the	day of		, at _	o'clock _	M; and executed at
on the day of	, b	y mailing to	the within named		
a true copy of this Citation toget FORECLOSURE AND APPLICATION FOR TE date of delivery.					
Deputy/Cons	stable/Sheriff:				)
County of			State of		
Ву			Deputy		
Fees \$					
State of County of			(Must be verified	if served outsi	de the State of Texas
			before me this _	day of	
to certify which witness my hand a	and seal of office				
(Seal)		γ.	<		
	County of		, State of _		

258269 12 Abosede Eborseme IN THEDISTRICT Plaintiff. COURT OF THRRIANT COUNTY C-MAC Bank of JUDICIAL DISTRICT America. Bank of New York Mellon FKA Bank. Plaintiff Original Petition for Wrongful Foreclosure and Application for Temporary Restraining Order and Injurichive Relief. To the Honorable Judge of Said Court Come Now Plaintiff Phosede Ebowene Pile this petition for

Wrongful Foreclosure and application for Temporary restraining Order and Injunctive Relief in the interest of justice and Fairness, and ask the Honorable Court to Find Fayor for Plaintiff and against GMAC, Bank of America, Barlo 87 New York, Mellon FKF Bank and Mr Ferguson Christopher. All Defendants have pur purpose fully intentionally and wrong fully instruction

12-12020-mg Doc 8018-21 and carried out a collective ach on by way of a foreclosure and substitute Trustee's Non Judicial Foredosure sale is violation of the Texas FinanceCode Section 392,30 (8) and 392,304 and other various state laws My trouse was foreclosed on while I was in Bankruptcy and paying CIMAC Ownership of not and mortgage was it properly documented; Bark of America took me to court, they were

able to prove that I was not, paying because I had the chedis from the bards, where they collected -, Then the stopped taking my checks, I mean cashing while still taking money from

While still taking money from

Line hardthe bankruptcy thustee. Then
they stopped and later started
they money
taking On August, 30th 2010 Commisco trustee, again tode me to court again, the Judge did mot rule on the 2011 case in the Bank to paul in a poll ne roll pay what the was one original 14 % Rate was 1 retused, 1 wanted a fixed Rate, based on my original credit score of 850. Did Poredosure sule occur when loss litigation solution were still under consideration? Bank of America de Founded many, and I am one 57 them. On October 31st 2008 GMAC sent me a lette for Hdjustable Rate Loan Modification Hyreement, I retused to accept it, I wanted a fixed I did not know when my house 12-12020-mg Doc 8018-21 Filed 01/22/15 Entered 01/22/15 17:56:48 Exhibit 4-A

was really horeclosed. I was never hold that. I did not sign any agreement with Bank of America GMAC or Bank St New York! asked for Mortgage Service Agreement as required by RESPA, Bank St America Faited to provide it Did Bank ST America just Fined three million dollars Fand & GMAC dains are barred because of unclear hands. GMAC claims are barred

by terms of the loan fraudulent documents.

COUNT 1: WRONGFUL FORECLOSURE

The Detendants Foredosure action was wrongful. The Ded of Trust is not enforceable due to the lack of ownership is the note by the D. Teridants and it such law till owner of the indebtedness was to prove up a proper Note, bifurcation of the mote and security instrument has been proved by an assignment of the mortgage by an intrusive

mon-party that was not "Holder in Due Course".

Intentional Infliction of Emotional

Distress

This wrongful Poreclosure upon my home, intentional or recklessly engage in extreme and outrageous conduct to cause me emotional ·distress The emotional that I swoffered as a resu of their conduct was sever

12-12020-mg Doc 8018-21 Filed 01/22/15 Entered 01/22/15 17:56:48 Exhibit 4-A

Notice, amounts, calculation of the de fault period, were they is accordance with Texas law and the terms of the loan is my Mon judicial foreclosure? Fees and or penalties were theyin accordance with the terms of the loan documents, state or Federal law or otherwise unreasonable? Frequency of fees were excessive hoss litigation were they hardled in accordance with the policies and procedures? Financial and mental injury to me from this wrongful fore closure

Promissory notes can be transferred lawfully without a written assignment or an endorsement by the legal owner or holder. Waters v. Waters, 498 S.W.2d 236, 241 (Tex.Civ.App.-Tyler 1973, writ refd n.r.e.); see also Christian v. University Federal Savings Association, 792 S.W.2d at 534. Absent an endorsement, however, possession must be accounted for by proving the transaction through which the note was acquired. Tex. Bus. & Com.Code Ann. § 3.201(c), Comment 8 (Vernon 1968); Lawson v. Finance America Private Brands, Inc., 537 S.W.2d at 485. Appellee has presented no proof of any transfer that would vest in it ownership rights sufficient to enforce payment of the note. See Northwestern National Insurance Company v. Crockett, 857 S.W.2d 757, 758 (Tex.App.-Beaumont 1993, no writ).

. <u>Shepard v. Boone</u>, 99 S.W.3d 263, 265-266 (Tex.App. - Eastland 2003).

Producing Cause. Plaintiff would show that the acts, practices, and/or omissions complained of were the producing causes of Plaintiff's damages more fully described herein.

Written Notice Not Given. Plaintiff's have not timely notified Defendants of such complaint pursuant to Section 17.505(a) of the Texas Business and Commerce Code. However, pursuant to Section 17.505(b) of the Texas Business and Commerce Code, the giving of 60 days' written notice is impracticable by reason of the necessity of filing suit in order to prevent irreparable damage to Plaintiffs', and because a consumer's claim is asserted as a response to actions taken by Defendants.

### APPLICATION FOR TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER

Plaintiffs' requests this Honorable Court to restrain Defendants, or any of them, Defendants' officers, agents, servants, employees, and assigns, constables, sheriffs, Justices of the Peace, and attorneys from directly or indirectly taking, leasing, encumbering, selling, taking possession of, altering, or destroying the subject property, reporting the subject

Original Petition for Wrongful Foreclosure

property for any other sale, or otherwise disturbing or attempting to disturb Plaintiff's peaceable possession and enjoyment of the subject property during the pendency of this cause. Unless this Honorable Court immediately restrains Defendants, or any of them, Defendants' officers, agents, servants, employees, and assigns, constables, sheriffs, Justices of the Peace, and attorneys from directly or indirectly taking, leasing, encumbering, selling, taking possession of, altering, or destroying the subject property, reporting or listing the subject property for any other sale, or otherwise disturbing or attempting to disturb Plaintiff's peaceable possession and enjoyment of the subject property during the pendency of this cause, Plaintiff will suffer immediate and irreparable injury, for which there is no adequate remedy at law to give Plaintiff complete and final relief. More specifically, Plaintiffs' will show unto this Court the following:

- (a) The harm to Plaintiffs' is imminent because Plaintiffs' property is at immediate risk of re-sale, alteration, or destruction whereupon Plaintiffs' would lose the ability to recover her property should Plaintiffs' prevail in this action.
- (b) There is no adequate remedy at law that will give Plaintiffs' complete and final relief if the Temporary Restraining Order is not granted, and any transfer, alteration, or destruction of the property is allowed to occur.
- (c) Plaintiffs' are willing to post a reasonable temporary restraining order bond and hereby request this Honorable Court to set such bond at a reasonable amount.
- (d) Plaintiffs' have met their burden by establishing each element that must be present before injunctive relief can be granted by this Court, and Plaintiff's therefore are entitled to the requested temporary restraining order.

Plaintiffs' are likely to succeed on the merits of this lawsuit.

Original Petition for Wrongful Foreclosure

## **CONCLUSION**

When the Court takes into account the Statutes and Case Law and applies them to the facts of this case and the documents relied on by the Plaintiffs', it is clear why it is necessary for the "Holder" who is authorizing the collection of the Note be required to prove they are the Holder of the ink-signed Original Note with a valid perfected security interest. No such evidence exists.

Defendants through their actions of attempting an assignment of a non valid security instrument, and with reference to public records, there is proof of bifurcation or separation of the security instrument from the note. This action by 3rd parties or the lack of action thereof has rendered the security instrument a nullity, leaving only an unsecured indebtedness of the negotiable instrument that could only be enforced by the original Creditor through legal avenues.

# PRAYER FOR RELIEF

### WHEREFORE PLAINTIFF RESPECTFULLY REQUESTS:

- 1. That this Petition be filed and that a day be appointed for a hearing on this matter;
- 2. That a temporary restraining order will be issued, restraining Defendants, or any of them,
  Defendants' officers, agents, servants, employees, and assigns, constables, sheriffs, Justices
  of the Peace, and attorneys from directly or indirectly taking, leasing, encumbering, selling,
  taking possession of, altering, or destroying the subject property, reporting or listing the
  subject property for any other sale, or otherwise disturbing or attempting to disturb
  Plaintiffs' peaceable possession and enjoyment of the subject property during the pendency
  of this cause;

3. That the Court the bond for the temporary restraining order;

Original Petition for Wrongful Foreclosure

- 4. That, after notice and hearing, the Court set aside the Substitute Trustee's Sale of the above-specified property and issue a temporary injunction enjoining and restraining Defendants, or any of them, Defendants' officers, agents, servants, employees, successors and assigns, constables, sheriffs, Justices of the Peace, and attorneys from directly or indirectly taking, leasing, encumbering, selling, taking possession of, altering, or destroying the subject property, reporting the subject property for any other sale, or from otherwise disturbing or attempting to disturb Plaintiffs' peaceable possession and enjoyment of the subject property during the pendency of this cause;
- 5. That, after trial on the merits, the Court permanently enjoin Defendants, or any of them,
  Defendants' officers, agents, servants, employees, successors and assigns, constables,
  sheriffs, Justices of the Peace, and attorneys from directly or indirectly taking, leasing,
  encumbering, selling, taking possession of, altering, or destroying the subject property of the
  subject property, reporting the subject property for any other sale, or otherwise disturbing or
  attempting to disturb Plaintiffs' peaceable possession and enjoyment of the property;
- 6. Damages in an amount not to exceed the jurisdictional limits of this Court;
- 7. Economic Damages;
- 8. Punitive Damages;
- 9. Additional Treble Damages for all intentional and knowing violations;
- 10. Exemplary Damages;
- 11. Equitable Relief;
- 12. Costs of Court; and
- 13. All other relief to which Plaintiffs' are entitled;
- 14. Plaintiffs' pray for general relief.

Respectfully submitted
Respectfully R